



Faculty Cognitive Sciences and Human Development

**METACOGNITIVE SELF-REGULATION STRATEGIES  
ON ACADEMIC ACHIEVEMENT  
AMONG UNIMAS UNDERGRADUATES**

KOK YAN JYE

Bachelor of Science Honours (BSc Hons)

(Cognitive Sciences)

2010

BORANG PENGESAHAN STATUS TESIS

Gred:

JUDUL : \_\_\_\_\_  
\_\_\_\_\_

SESI PENGAJIAN : \_\_\_\_\_

Saya \_\_\_\_\_

(HURUF BESAR)

mengaku membenarkan tesis \* ini disimpan di Pusat Khidmat Maklumat Akademik,  
Universiti Malaysia Sarawak dengan syarat-syarat kegunaan seperti berikut:

1. Tesis adalah hakmilik Universiti Malaysia Sarawak.
2. Pusat Khidmat Maklumat Akademik, Universiti Malaysia Sarawak dibenarkan membuat salinan untuk tujuan pengajian sahaja.
3. Pusat Khidmat Maklumat Akademik, Universiti Malaysia Sarawak dibenarkan membuat pendigitan untuk membangunkan Pangkalan Data Kandungan Tempatan.
4. Pusat Khidmat Maklumat Akademik, Universiti Malaysia Sarawak dibenarkan membuat salinan tesis ini sebagai bahan pertukaran antara institusi pengajian tinggi.

\*\* sila tandakan ( ✓ )

☐

SULIT

(Mengandungi maklumat yang berdarjah keselamatan atau kepentingan seperti termaktub di dalam AKTA RAHSIA RASMI 1972)

☐

TERHAD

(Mengandungi maklumat Terhad yang telah ditentukan oleh organisasi/badan di mana penyelidikan dijalankan)

☐

TIDAK TERHAD

\_\_\_\_\_  
(TANDATANGAN PENULIS)

\_\_\_\_\_  
(TANDATANGAN PENYELIA)

Alamat Tetap:

\_\_\_\_\_  
\_\_\_\_\_

Tarikh : \_\_\_\_\_

Tarikh: \_\_\_\_\_

Catatan:

\* Tesis dimaksudkan sebagai tesis bagi Ijazah Doktor Falsafah, Sarjana dan Sarjana Muda

\* Jika tesis ini SULIT atau TERHAD, sila lampirkan surat daripada pihak berkuasa/organisasi berkenaan dengan menyatakan sekali sebab dan tempoh tesis ini perlu dikelaskan sebagai TERHAD.

**METACOGNITIVE SELF-REGULATION STRATEGIES  
ON ACADEMIC ACHIEVEMENT  
AMONG UNIMAS UNDERGRADUATES**

**KOK YAN JYE  
18736**

This project is submitted in partial fulfillment of the requirements for the  
Bachelor of Science Honours  
(Cognitive Sciences)

**Faculty of Cognitive Sciences and Human Development  
UNIVERSITI MALAYSIA SARAWAK**

**2010**

The project entitled 'Metacognitive Self-Regulation Strategies on Academic Achievement among Unimas Undergraduates' was prepared by Kok Yan Jye and submitted to the Faculty of Cognitive Sciences and Human Development in partial fulfillment of the requirements for the Bachelor of Science with Honours (Cognitive Sciences).

Received for examination by:

-----  
**Dr. Fitri Suraya Mohamad**

20 April 2010

<b>Grade</b>
--------------

## **Statement of Originality**

The work described in this Final Year Project, entitled  
**Metacognitive Self-Regulation Strategies on Academic Achievement**  
**among Unimas Undergraduates**

is to the best of the author's knowledge that of the author except  
where due reference is made.

---

(Date submitted)

---

(Student's signature)

KOK YAN JYE

18736

## **ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS**

I would like to express my deepest appreciation and thanks to my parents give me a chance to pursue my dreams for continuous my studies in university. Whatever I am far away from my parents who are staying at Malacca, my hometown, but they always give me supports, beliefs and motivation in doing this project. Thanks for their love and caring for never lost hope and encouragement.

Special thanks to my project supervisor, Dr. Fitri, for all her patience, guidance and constructive comments throughout this project.

All the lectures either from the Centre of Language Studies or the Faculty of Cognitive Science and Human Development in Universiti Malaysia Sarawak, for their guidance and teaching all over the entire courses.

To my friends and classmates, thanks you very much to all your supports, helpful suggestions and who have willingly given their time participated in this study, thanks for your co-operation.

## TABLE OF CONTENTS

	Page
<b>Acknowledgements</b>	iii
<b>Table of Contents</b>	iv
<b>List of Figures</b>	viii
<b>List of Tables</b>	ix
<b>List of Abbreviations</b>	x
<b>Abstract</b>	xi
<b><i>Abstrak</i></b>	xii

## CHAPTER 1 – INTRODUCTION

1.0	Introduction	1
1.1	Background of Study	4
1.2	Problem Statement	7
1.3	Research Objectives	9
1.4	Research Questions	10
1.5	Research Hypotheses	11
1.6	Conceptual Framework	12
1.7	Significance of Study	13
1.8	Definition of Terms	14
1.9	Chapter Review	17

## **CHAPTER 2 – LITERATURE REVIEW**

2.0	Introduction	18
2.1	Metacognitive Awareness and Academic Achievement in College Students	19
2.2	Subcomponents of Metacognitive self-regulation (MSR)	
2.2.1	Planning	20
2.2.2	Information Management	21
2.2.3	Monitoring	22
2.2.4	Debugging	23
2.2.5	Evaluating	23
2.3	Models of Metacognition	
2.3.1	Flavell’s Model of Cognitive Monitoring	25
2.3.2	Brown’s Model of Metacognition	27
2.3.3	Pintrich’s model	29
2.3.4	Model of Self-Regulated Learning	30
2.3.5	Tobias & Everson’s Hierarchical Model	31
2.4	Metacognitive Assessment Inventory (MAI)	33
2.5	Summary	35

## **CHAPTER 3 – RESEARCH METHODOLOGY**

3.0	Introduction	36
3.1	Research Design	36
3.2	Research Participants	40
3.3	Research Instruments	44
3.4	Research Procedures	47
3.5	Data Analysis	48
3.6	Chapter Review	52



## **CHAPTER 4 – FINDINGS AND DISCUSSIONS**

4.0	Introduction	53
4.1	Description of the Samples	54
4.1.1	Gender	55
4.1.2	Age	56
4.1.3	Race	56
4.1.4	Year of Study	56
4.2	Reliability of Questionnaires	56
4.3	Analysis of Results	
4.3.0	Relationship between Planning Skill and Student's Academic Achievement	58
4.3.1	Relationship between Information Management Strategies and Student's Academic Achievement	60
4.3.2	Relationship between Monitoring Skill and Student's Academic Achievement	61
4.3.3	Relationship between Debugging Strategies Student's Academic Achievement	63
4.3.4	Relationship between Evaluation Skill and Student's Academic Achievement	64
4.3.5	Difference between Programme Levels in Planning Skill	66
4.3.6	Difference between Programme Levels in Information Management Strategies	67
4.3.7	Difference between Programme Levels in Monitoring Skill	68
4.3.8	Difference between Programme Levels in Debugging Strategies	70
4.3.9	Difference between Programme Levels in Evaluation Skill	71
4.4	Discussions	
4.4.1	Undergraduates Student's Preferences on Metacognitive Self-Regulation Strategies (MSR)	72
4.4.2	Summary	76

## **CHAPTER 5 – CONCLUSION AND RECOMMENDATIONS**

5.0	Introduction	81
5.1	Summary of Findings	81
5.2	Limitation of Study	83
5.3	Recommendations	84
5.4	Suggestion for Further Research	86
5.5	Conclusion	89

<b>Reference Lists</b>	92
------------------------	----

### **Appendix A**

Metacognitive Self-Regulation Assessment Questionnaire (MSRQ)	101
---	-----

## LIST OF FIGURES

<b>Figure</b>	<b>Page</b>
1.1 Research Framework for the Study	12
2.1 Flavell's Model of Metacognition	25
2.2 Brown's Model of Metacognition	27
2.3 Tobias & Everson's Hierarchical Model	32
3.1 Research Design Process	39
3.2 Sample Size Calculator	43
3.3 Coefficient Ranges from -1 to 1	49

## LIST OF TABLES

<b>Table</b>	<b>Page</b>
3.1 Summary of Analysis Methods	50
4.1 Summarises the Demographic Characteristics of the Sample Group	54
4.2 Reliability for the Subcomponents of Metacognitive Self-Regulation (MSR)	57
4.3 Results of Pearson Correlation Test in Planning Skill	58
4.4 Results of Pearson Correlation Test in Information Management Strategies	60
4.5 Results of Pearson Correlation Test in Monitoring Skill	62
4.6 Results of Pearson Correlation Test in Debugging Strategies	63
4.7 Results of Pearson Correlation Test in Evaluation Skill	65
4.8 Group Statistics for Planning Skill	66
4.9 Independent Samples T-test for Planning Skill	66
4.10 Group Statistics for Information Management Strategies	67
4.11 Independent Samples T-test for Information Management Strategies	68
4.12 Group Statistics for Monitoring Skill	69
4.13 Independent Samples T-test for Monitoring Skill	69
4.14 Group Statistics for Debugging Strategies	70
4.15 Independent Samples T-test for Debugging Strategies	70
4.16 Group Statistics for Evaluation Skill	71
4.17 Independent Samples T-test for Evaluation Skill	71
4.18 Comparison between Two Groups: Mean Distribution of Metacognitive Self-Regulation strategies (MSR)	75
4.19 Overview Results of Hypotheses	76

## **LIST OF ABBREVIATIONS**

Faculty of Cognitive Sciences and Human Development	- FCSHD
Cognitive Sciences	- CS
Human Resource Development	- HRD
Universiti Malaysia Sarawak	- UNIMAS
Metacognitive Self-Regulation	- MSR
Cumulative Grades Points Average	- CGPA

## **ABSTRACT**

### **METACOGNITIVE SELF-REGULATION STRATEGIES ON ACADEMIC ACHIEVEMENT AMONG UNIMAS UNDERGRADUATES**

Kok Yan Jye

This paper investigates the relationship between metacognitive self-regulation strategies (MSR) and academic achievement among Unimas undergraduates. Specifically, this study examines the relationship between subcomponents of MSR and UNIMAS students' Cumulative Grade Point Average (CGPA). The five subcomponents of MSR are planning skills, information management strategies, monitoring, debugging strategies and evaluation. Academic achievement is measured based on the total average of overall examination scores (CGPA). Ninety students were randomly selected from Faculty of Cognitive Sciences and Human Development (FCSHD) in UNIMAS to take part in this research. Data was collected through a survey questionnaire which was adapted from Metacognitive Awareness Inventory (MAI). The results showed that information management strategies, monitoring skill, and debugging strategies were significantly and positively correlated with academic achievement. Among the three significantly correlated pairs, debugging strategies have the highest degree of correlation with academic achievement. However, planning skill and evaluation skill subcomponents of MSR showed no significant relationship with academic achievement. It was also found that there was no programme-level difference between the data obtained from two sample groups in terms of the deployment of self-regulation strategies. The findings also showed that both CS and HRD students preferred to use information management strategies to develop their learning ability in order to achieve good results. This study concluded that metacognition self-regulation strategies are important to be developed among undergraduates to facilitate their learning process at the university.

## **ABSTRAK**

### **STRATEGI-STRATEGI METAKOGNISI SECARA PANTAUAN KENDIRI DAN PENCAPAIAN AKADEMIK BAGI MAHASISWA-MAHASISWA UNIMAS**

*Kok Yan Jye*

*Kajian ini bertujuan untuk menyiasat hubungan antara strategi-strategi metakognisi secara pantauan sendiri (MSR) dan pencapaian akademik di kalangan mahasiswa-mahasiswi Unimas. Secara spesifik, kajian ini menyelidik hubungan di antara komponen-komponen MSR dengan Purata Nilai Gred Kumulatif (PNGK) mahasiswa. Lima komponen-komponen MSR yang terlibat adalah teknik perancangan, strategi mengemaskini maklumat, teknik pengawalan, teknik penyelesaian, dan teknik menilai. Keputusan akademik adalah berdasarkan purata nilai gred yang dicapai oleh mahasiswa dalam peperiksaan. Seramai 90 orang pelajar telah dipilih secara rawak dari Fakulti Sains Kognitif dan Pembangunan Manusia (FSKPM) di UNIMAS untuk mengambil bahagian dalam penyelidikan ini. Melalui soal selidik ini, data yang dikumpul adalah berdasarkan kepada Metakognitif Kesedaran Inventory (MAI). Keputusan bagi kajian ini menunjukkan bahawa strategi pengurusan maklumat, teknik pengawalan dan teknik penyelesaian terdapat korelasi positif yang signifikan dengan pencapaian akademik. Antara tiga strategi yang korelasi itu, teknik penyelesaian mempunyai hubungan yang tertinggi dengan pencapaian yang diperoleh. Selain itu, keputusan kajian ini melaporkan tiada perbezaan antara peringkat program bagi kedua-dua kumpulan dalam penggunaan strategi metakognisi pantauan sendiri. Keputusan ini juga menunjukkan bahawa pelajar dari Sains Kognitif dan Pembangunan Sumber Manusia adalah lebih mementingkan strategi pengurusan maklumat untuk meningkatkan kemahiran dalam pelajaran mereka agar memastikan mereka berjaya dalam pelajaran. Oleh itu, kajian ini menyimpulkan bahawa strategi-strategi metakognisi secara pantauan sendiri adalah penting untuk dikembangkan antara pelajar-pelajar supaya memudahkan proses pembelajaran mereka di universiti.*

## **CHAPTER 1**

### **INTRODUCTION**

#### **1.0 Introduction**

Metacognition is one types of learning strategy which can be used to make regulatory decisions. Regulatory skills enable individuals to plan, monitor, and evaluate their learning in order to help students become self-regulated learners. This is strongly supported by components of metacognition model with “control aspect of learning is a regulation of cognition corresponds to knowledge about the way students plan, implement strategies, monitor, correct comprehension errors and evaluate their learning” (Imel, 2002). College students will be expected to have critical thinking



about what they have heard and read, identify relationships among ideas, engage in complex decision-making (King, 1990) and monitor their own thinking processes.

Metacognition refers to one's self-awareness of a knowledge base in which information is stored about how, when and where to use various cognitive strategies as well as their self-awareness for access to strategies that direct learning such as monitoring difficulty level or a feeling of knowing (Flavell, 1989). Metacognition involves different aspects such as knowledge about cognition, awareness of one's own thinking processes, comprehension monitoring for learning, control of learning processes and self regulation of cognitive procedures (Pintrich, Wolters and Baxter, 2000).

Metacognitive skill is important in our daily life. Metacognition makes us think about our thinking and enables to get a better understanding for manage our cognitive skills. It means that we are aware of our own thinking process in cognitive activities. Activities such as planning how to approach a given learning task, monitoring comprehension and evaluating progress towards the completion of a task are in nature. Metacognition has been linked with intelligence and has been shown that those with greater metacognitive abilities tend to be more successful thinker in the way directly helps to increase academic success (Frohlich and Todesco, 1975).

Metacognition plays an essential role in successful learning for both students and teachers. The use of metacognition strategies control one's thinking and can lead to more deep learning and improved performance, especially among learners who are struggling with studies (Anderson, 1999). It is important that students should have metacognitive skills so they can develop stronger learning skills with self-regulation techniques.

According to Baker and Brown (1984), Brown (1987) and Jacobs and Paris (1987), regulation of cognition is one of the two types of metacognitive techniques which affect learning and performance and it consists of five subcomponents which are planning skills, information management strategies, monitoring, debugging strategies and evaluation (Schraw, 1994). With the help of Metacognitive Self-Regulation (MSR) strategies, the process of cognitive processing is planned, monitored, reflected and evaluated (Artelt et al. 2003).

Anderson (2002) stated that preparation and planning are important metacognitive skills that can improve student learning. By engaging in preparation and planning in relation to a learning goal, students are thinking about what they need to accomplish and how they intend to go about achieving it. By setting clear and realistic goals, students will be able to perceive their own progress and make conscious decisions about the learning process.

To be effective, metacognitive instruction should clearly teach students a variety of learning strategies and also when to use them. Learners should be instructed on how to choose the best and most appropriate strategy in the given situation.

### **1.1 Background of Study**

During the last three decades, the development of metacognition has attracted attention in educational and psychological research (Artelt, 2006). However, psychological research highlights early forms of metacognitive abilities in young children. Educational research focuses more on the development of metacognitive components such as the use and the self regulation of learning strategies in high school. Researchers indicate that planning and information management skills are important predictors for successful problem solving in higher education (Horward, McGee, Hong and Shia, 2001).

Metacognitive practices such as monitoring for comprehension, analyzing causes of error, and adjusting learning strategies accordingly contribute to higher student outcomes (Browne, Campione and Day, 1981; Flavell, 1978). Successful learners monitor their own comprehension and adjust their learning strategies accordingly (Paris, Lipson and Wixson, 1983; Brown and Palincsar, 1982). Self-appraisal and self-management based upon the learner's motivational beliefs are

effective practices for young learners (Paris and Parecki, 1995). The existing evidence strongly supports this belief and continuous progress in students' use of metacognitive learning strategies between the ages of 11 and 15 (Baumert 1993; Baumert and Köller 1996; Veenman and Spaans 2005; Veenman et al. 2004; Zimmerman and Martinez-Pons 1990). This existing evidence clearly indicates that the use of metacognitive learning strategies is strongly connected with students' age, at least until the end of secondary level.

However, research also indicates that the use of metacognitive learning strategies depends on students' gender and socio-economic background. In term of gender, a significantly large part of the literature refers to clear advantage for female students in comparison to male students (Artelt et al. 2003; Brühwiler and Biedermann 2005; Schiefele et al. 2003; Zimmerman and Martinez-Pons 1990).

In term of socio-economic background, the literature refers to obvious advantages for students with a culturally-rich socio-economic background in comparison with those from educationally-disadvantaged strata (Artelt et al. 2003; Artelt et al. 2001). This fact may be explained by attitudes towards learning which are influenced by family background. A high education of learning and achieving will clearly encourage focus on learning processes benefiting metacognitive approaches. The metacognitive skills show a significant impact on the self-efficacy of high risk college students (Rampp and Guffey, 1999). Studies clearly show that metacognitive

skills play an important role in effective learning that leads to academic success (Flavell, 1985; Klein, 1998; Swanson, 1992; Zimmerman and Martinez-Pons, 1990).

There are many skills are taught at schools in a manner in which they will be tested rather than how the skills would be used in life (Travis, 1996). As a result, students begin to study with memorization to get grades rather than understanding and acquiring the knowledge and skills they will use in the future. The evaluation should include descriptions of how students plan, monitor and evaluate, as well as their self-regulation strategies (Baron, 1987). Instead of measuring knowledge, educators could identify the students' level of metacognition through assessing metacognition.

According to Swanson (1990), previous research has shown that Metacognitive Self Regulation (MSR) and the use of metacognitive skills can be as predictors of achievement scores (as cited in Howard, McGee, Hong and Shia, 2001). The research is in line with this present study which generally aims to investigate whether MSR can be as predictors the academic achievement.

Metacognition plays an important role in communication of information, comprehension, writing, memory, problem solving, social cognition and various type of self-control. There are also clear indications that ideas about metacognition are beginning in the areas of social learning theory, cognitive behavior and education

(Nelson, 1992). Thus, the nature development of metacognition in monitoring or regulation is emerging as an interesting and promising new area of investigation.

## **1.2 Problem Statement**

There are many factors that may contribute to the academic achievement of a student. Some students find it hard to accomplish good results in examination. One of the problems is related to learning skills. Metacognitive skills are the main factors which affect learning and academic achievement as we are engaged in metacognitive activities every day. As metacognition plays a critical role in successful learning, it is important to study metacognitive activity and development to determine how students can be taught to better apply their cognitive process through metacognitive control in order to understand metacognitive process better.

Besides, other problems that contribute to poor results in academic are learning disabilities such as lack of awareness of metacognition knowledge and metacognition regulation of cognition. According to Flavell (1979, 1987), metacognitive self regulation is applied with using the planning and managing strategies to get more understanding and awareness in learning processes. Students who have poor results in academic might benefit from learning the metacognitive skills in their subjects. On the other hand, students who only memorize information without understanding are not the suitable learning ways for success in the future.

Besides, another problem is lack of self-questioning. College students lack in asking questions in the lectures. Consequently they are unable to know what they have learned as well as checking the outcomes of those activities. Those with greater metacognitive abilities tend to be more successful in their cognitive activities so that individuals can learn how to better regulate their cognitive activities (Howard et al., 2001).

However, the problems exist among many learners do not realize what affects their learning, how do they learn, what types of metacognitive strategies work for them, what types of skills and strategies they need in order to be able to complete a learning task. They need to know when, how and why to use the strategies for different learning situation and for distinct tasks (Bruning, Schraw, Norby, and Ronning, 2004).

Last but not least, the problem of lacking in motivation to learn or having passive control behavior would affect the result of academic achievement. High self-regulators know a lot about themselves, how they learn best and they are strong in academic learning skills. They also have self-control that makes learning easier (Murphy and Alexander, 2000). In addition, for those lacks of self-control and volition learners are unable to cope their feeling when they are tired, emotional, lazy or angry while learning.

### **1.3 Research Objectives**

The main purpose of this study is to explore the metacognitive self-regulation strategies for achieve academic success. This research aims to find out how to use problem solving skills and enhance learning techniques in learning processes in order to succeed in academic performance.

#### **General Objective**

This study aims to investigate the relationship between metacognitive self-regulation and academic achievement among undergraduate students at the Faculty of Cognitive Sciences and Human Development University Malaysia Sarawak (UNIMAS).

#### **Specific Objectives**

This study examines the relationship between subcomponents of metacognitive self-regulation (planning skills, information management strategies, monitoring skills, debugging strategies and evaluation) and the academic achievement of students at the Faculty of Cognitive Sciences and Human Development (FCSHD).